

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and I rademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS F.O. Box 1450 Alexandria/Vigemia 22313-1450 www.uspid.ggv

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/625,680	07/24/2003	724/2003 Chang-ho Lee	1572.1131	1102
21171 7	590 06/01/2006		EXAMINER	
STAAS & HALSEY LLP			LE, DIEU-MINH T	
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	N, DC 20005		2114	
			DATE MAILED: 06/01/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/625,68	30	LEE, CHANG-HO			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Dieu-Minh	Le	2114			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 July 2003</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 							
Disposition of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and on Papers The specification is objected to by the Exame The drawing(s) filed on 24 July 2003 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corresponding the corresponding to the corresponding to the corresponding to the corresponding the corresponding to the c	d/or election reliner. a)⊠ accepte the drawing(s) b	equirement. d or b)⊡ objected to b se held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/24/03. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:							

Art Unit: 2114

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is response to the communication filed on 07/24/03 in application 10/625,680.

2. Claims 1-22 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Priors (figures 3-4) in

Art Unit: 2114

view of Gold et al. (U.S. Patent 6,785,786 hereafter referred to as Gold).

As per claim 1 and 4:

Applicant's submitted priors substantially teaches the invention. Applicant's submitted priors teaches:

- A method of backing up and recovering data in a data processing system [fig. 3-4, par. 0005-0006]; comprising:
- selecting files to be backed up, and determining where to save the selected files [fig. 3-4, par. 0005-0006];
- loading a project file to manage backup information on the selected files [fig. 3-4, par. 0005-0006];
 - updating a backup file database including information on storage addresses where the selected files are saved [fig. 3-4, par. 0005-0006];
 - completing data backup by saving an updated backup file database in the project file [fig. 3-4, par. 0005-0006].

Applicant's submitted priors do not explicitly teach:

- building a baseline in the project file; backing up the selected files with the baseline and updating a backup file

Application/Control Number: 10/625,680

Art Unit: 2114

database including information on storage addresses where the selected files are saved.

However, Applicant's submitted priors do disclose capability of:

- A backup and recovering data processing system [fig. 3-4, par. 0005-0006] comprising:
- creating project file and backup the selected files [fig. 3, par. 0005-0006].

In addition, Gold does explicitly disclose:

- A data backup and recovery systems [abstract, fig. 1-3, col. 1, lines 5-7] comprising capability of:
- backing up data or files into a new baseline area in

 supporting the data backup and recovery process as well as

 updating data file via its byte size and arrangement and

 address updating [fig. 1-3, col. 5, lines 27-53; col. 7,

 lines 17-64 and col. 11, lines 40 through col. 12, line

 55].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to realize that by applying the Gold's backing up data or files

into a new baseline area in supporting the data backup and recovery process as well as updating data file via its byte size and arrangement and address updating capabilities in conjunction with the backup and recovering data processing system having creating project file and backup the selected files as taught by Applicant's submitted priors, the computer/data backup and recovery processing system can enhance its operation performance, more specifically to ensuring the error thoroughly detected and corrected via data backup and recovery process.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do so to provide the computer data backup and recovery processing system with mechanism to improve data fault tolerant operation and to enhance its data transmission among computing device in improving its data availability and controllability. That is by utilizing this approach any error or failure occurred in the data processing system can be identified, detected, corrected and traced via data updating, data backup, data comparison, configuration, and reconfiguration (i.e., baseline) capabilities.

As per claims 2-3:

Applicant's submitted further teaches the invention.

Art Unit: 2114

- information on time (i.e., <u>starting data backup and</u>

<u>recovery process must have timestamped</u>) of when the data

backup is performed and information on the selected files

to be backed up [fig. 3-4, par. 0005-0006];

- new created file in the data processing system after the data backup is performed (loading a project file to manage backup information on the selected files) [fig. 3-4, par. 0005-0006].

Applicant's submitted priors do not explicitly teach:

- updating the backup file database includes logically linking the storage addresses of unchanged files of the selected files.

However, Applicant's submitted priors do disclose capability of:

- A backup and recovering data processing system [fig. 3-4, par. 0005-0006] comprising:
- creating and linking (i.e., connected) project file and backup the selected files and linking[fig. 3, par. 0005-0006].

In addition, Gold does explicitly disclose:

Application/Control Number: 10/625,680

Art Unit: 2114

- A data backup and recovery systems [abstract, fig. 1-3, col. 1, lines 5-7] comprising capability of:

Page 7

- data backup and updating via logically pointing (i.e.,

pointers) with respect to changed and unchanged files [fig.

1-3, col. 7, lines 17 through col. 8, lines 41].

- files stamped with time/date [col. 6, lines 15-26].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to realize that by applying the Gold's <u>data backup and updating via logically pointing (i.e., pointers) with respect to changed and unchanged files capability in conjunction with the backup and recovering data processing system having creating project file and backup the selected files as taught by Applicant's submitted priors, the computer/data backup and recovery processing system can enhance its operation performance, more specifically to ensuring the error thoroughly detected and corrected via data backup and recovery process for the same reasons set forth as described in claim 1, supra.</u>

As per claims 5-7:

Applicant's submitted priors further teaches:

- loading the project file [fig. 3-4, par. 0005-0006];

- recovering the selected files recorded in the backup file database [fig. 3-4, par. 0005-0006];

Applicant's submitted priors do not explicitly teach:

- selecting the baseline related to the selected files to be recovered among baselines of the project file.

However, Applicant's submitted priors do disclose capability of:

- A backup and recovering data processing system [fig. 3-4, par. 0005-0006] comprising:
- creating project file and backup the selected files [fig. 3, par. 0005-0006].

In addition, Gold does explicitly disclose:

- A data backup and recovery systems [abstract, fig. 1-3, col. 1, lines 5-7] comprising capability of:
- backing up data or files into a new baseline area in

 supporting the data backup and recovery process as well as

 files recovering from baseline files [fig. 1-3, col. 5,

 lines 27-53; col. 7, lines 17-64 and col. 11, lines 40

 through col. 12, line 55; col. 17, lines 50 through col.

 18, lines 25].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to realize that by applying the Gold's <u>backing up data or files</u> into a new baseline area in supporting the data backup and recovery process as well as files recovering from baseline files capabilities in conjunction with the backup and recovering data processing system having creating project file and backup the selected files as taught by Applicant's submitted priors, the computer/data backup and recovery processing system can enhance its operation performance, more specifically to ensuring the error thoroughly detected and corrected via data backup and recovery process.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do so to provide the computer data backup and recovery processing system with mechanism to improve data fault tolerant operation and to enhance its data transmission among computing device in improving its data availability and controllability.

As per claim 15:

Applicant's submitted priors substantially teaches the invention. Applicant's submitted priors teaches:

- A method of backing up data [fig. 3-4, par. 0005-0006]; comprising:

Art Unit: 2114

- selecting files to be backed up, and determining where to save the selected files [fig. 3-4, par. 0005-0006];

- loading a project file to manage backup information on the selected files [fig. 3-4, par. 0005-0006];
 - updating a backup file database including information on storage addresses where the selected files are saved [fig. 3-4, par. 0005-0006];
 - completing data backup by saving an updated backup file database in the project file [fig. 3-4, par. 0005-0006].

Applicant's submitted priors do not explicitly teach:

- and logically linking the first backup file database to a second backup file database that is associated with a second baseline, wherein the second backup file database comprises storage addresses of a second plurality of files that have been previously backed up and an index of the second plurality of files that have been previously backed up, and wherein the first baseline is different from the second baseline.

However, Applicant's submitted priors do disclose capability of:

- A backup and recovering data processing system [fig. 3-4, par. 0005-0006] comprising:

- creating and linking (i.e., connected) project file and backup the selected files and linking[fig. 3, par. 0005-0006].

In addition, Gold does explicitly disclose:

- A data backup and recovery systems [abstract, fig. 1-3, col. 1, lines 5-7] comprising capability of:
- data backup and updating via logically pointing (i.e., pointers) with respect to changed and unchanged files from the primary and secondary backups [fig. 1-3, col. 7, lines 17 through col. 8, lines 41].
- files stamped with time/date [col. 6, lines 15-26].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to realize that by applying the Gold's <u>data backup and updating via logically pointing (i.e., pointers) with respect to changed and unchanged files from the primary and secondary backups</u>

capabilities in conjunction with the backup and recovering data processing system having creating project file and backup the selected files as taught by Applicant's submitted priors, the

computer/data backup and recovery processing system can enhance its operation performance, more specifically to ensuring the error thoroughly detected and corrected via data backup and recovery process.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do so to provide the computer data backup and recovery processing system with mechanism to improve data fault tolerant operation and to enhance its data transmission among computing device in improving its data availability and controllability. That is by utilizing this approach any error or failure occurred in the data processing system can be identified, detected, corrected and traced via data updating, data backup, data comparison, configuration, and reconfiguration (i.e., baseline) capabilities.

As per claim 16:

Applicant's submitted further teaches the invention.

- the information on when a backup of the first plurality of files will occur comprises at least one of a time and a date (i.e., <u>starting data backup and recovery process must have timestamped</u>) [fig. 3-4, par. 0005-0006].

In addition, Gold does explicitly disclose:

Art Unit: 2114

- A data backup and recovery systems [abstract, fig. 1-3, col. 1, lines 5-7] comprising capability of:

- files stamped with time/date [col. 6, lines 15-26].

As per claim 19:

Applicant's submitted priors substantially teaches the invention. Applicant's submitted priors teaches:

- A method of recovering backed-up data [fig. 3-4, par. 0005-0006] comprising:
- a first plurality of files were backed-up [fig. 3-4, par.0005-0006];
- determining storage addresses of files [fig. 3-4, par.
 0005-0006];
 - updating a backup file database including information on storage addresses where the selected files are saved [fig. 3-4, par. 0005-0006];
 - completing data backup by saving an updated backup file database in the project file [fig. 3-4, par. 0005-0006].

Applicant's submitted priors do not explicitly teach:

- the second backup file database is logically linked to the first backup file database; and recovering the first plurality of files and the second plurality of files. However, Applicant's submitted priors do disclose capability of:

- A backup and recovering data processing system [fig. 3-4, par. 0005-0006] comprising:
- creating and linking (i.e., connected) project file and backup the selected files and linking[fig. 3, par. 0005-0006].

In addition, Gold does explicitly disclose:

- A data backup and recovery systems [abstract, fig. 1-3, col. 1, lines 5-7] comprising capability of:
- data backup and updating via logically pointing (i.e., pointers) with respect to changed and unchanged files from the primary and secondary backups [fig. 1-3, col. 7, lines 17 through col. 8, lines 41].
- files stamped with time/date [col. 6, lines 15-26].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to realize that by applying the Gold's <u>data backup and updating via logically pointing (i.e., pointers) with respect to changed and unchanged files from the primary and secondary backups</u>

capabilities in conjunction with the backup and recovering data

processing system having creating project file and backup the selected files as taught by Applicant's submitted priors, the computer/data backup and recovery processing system can enhance its operation performance, more specifically to ensuring the error thoroughly detected and corrected via data backup and recovery process.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do so to provide the computer data backup and recovery processing system with mechanism to improve data fault tolerant operation and to enhance its data transmission among computing device in improving its data availability and controllability. That is by utilizing this approach any error or failure occurred in the data processing system can be identified, detected, corrected and traced via data updating, data backup, data comparison, configuration, and reconfiguration (i.e., baseline) capabilities.

As per claim 20:

Applicant's submitted further teaches the invention.

- the information on when the first plurality of files were backed-up comprises at least one of a time and a date

(i.e., <u>starting data backup and recovery process must have</u>

<u>timestamped</u>) [fig. 3-4, par. 0005-0006].

In addition, Gold does explicitly disclose:

- A data backup and recovery systems [abstract, fig. 1-3, col. 1, lines 5-7] comprising capability of:
- files stamped with time/date [col. 6, lines 15-26].

As per claims 8-14:

These claims are the same as per claims 1-7. The only minor different is that these claims are directed to a machinereadable medium for backing up and recovery data in a data processing system instead of the a method for backing up and recovery data in a data processing system comprising selecting files, loading file, backup files, etc... as described in claims 1-7. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to realized that a machine-readable medium for backing up and recovery data in a data processing system is a necessary item for such computing networking system, more specifically, data processing system. Since the data processing system obviously needs a means for file, instruction or code means resided within the machinereadable storage medium for performing the data backup, storing, executing, receiving, transmitting operation via the baseline project files capability. Therefore, these claims are also rejected under the same rationale applied against claims 1-7.

As per claims 17-18:

These claims are the same as per claims 15-16. The only minor different is that these claims are directed to a machinereadable medium for backing up data in a data processing system instead of the a method for backing up data in a data processing system comprising building a first baseline files, recording a first backup file, linking the first backup file database to a second backup file database, etc... as described in claims 15-16. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to realized that a machine-readable medium for backing up data in a data processing system is a necessary item for such computing networking system, more specifically, data processing system. Since the data processing system obviously needs a means for file, instruction or code means resided within the machine-readable storage medium for performing the data backup, storing, executing, receiving, transmitting operation via the baseline project files capability. Therefore, these claims are also rejected under the same rationale applied against claims 15-16.

As per claims 21-22:

These claims are the same as per claims 19-20. The only minor different is that these claims are directed to a machine-

Art Unit: 2114

readable medium for recovering backup data in a data processing system instead of the a method for recovering backup data in a data processing system comprising building a first baseline files, recording a first backup file, linking the first backup file database to a second backup file database, etc... as described in claims 19-20. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to realized that a machine-readable medium for recovering backup data in a data processing system is a necessary item for such computing networking system, more specifically, data processing system. Since the data processing system obviously needs a means for file, instruction or code means resided within the machine-readable storage medium for performing the data backup, storing, executing, receiving, transmitting operation via the baseline project files capability. Therefore, these claims are also rejected under the same rationale applied against claims 19-20.

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 6. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expired THREE (3) months, ZERO days from the date of this

letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to be abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dieu-Minh Le whose telephone number is (571) 272-3660. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Scott Baderman can be reached on (571)272-3644. The Tech Center 2100 phone number is (571) 272-2100.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DIEU-MINH THAI LE PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 2114

DML 05/29/06